Dynamics of Membrane Clathrin-Coated Structures During Cytokinesis
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Remodeling of cell membranes takes place during motile processes such as cell migration and cell division. Defects of proteins involved in membrane dynamics, including clathrin and dynamin, disrupt cytokinesis. To understand the function of clathrin-containing structures (CCS) in cytokinesis, we have expressed a green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion protein of clathrin light chain a (GFP-clathrin) in NRK epithelial cells and recorded images of dividing cells near the ventral surface with a spinning disk confocal microscope. Punctate GFP-CCS underwent dynamic appearance and disappearance throughout the ventral surface. Following anaphase onset, GFP-CCS between separated chromosomes migrated toward the equator and subsequently disappeared in the equatorial region. Movements outside separating chromosomes were mostly random, similar to what was observed in interphase cells. Directional movements toward the furrow were dependent on both actin filaments and microtubules, while the appearance/disappearance of CCS was dependent on actin filaments but not on microtubules. These results suggest that CCS are involved in remodeling the plasma membrane along the equator during cytokinesis. Clathrin-containing structures may also play a role in transporting signaling or structural components into the cleavage furrow.
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Cytokinesis, the final step of cell division, is a highly dynamic process involving signaling, cortical contractions, membrane remodeling and vesicle trafficking (1–7). For animal cells, the prevalent model suggests that cytokinesis is achieved primarily by localized contractions, which pinch a cell into two along the equator (6,7). However, the actual mechanism is likely to be more complicated (7). For example, budding of Saccharomyces cerevisiae can take place in the absence of myosin II (8), while Dictyostelium discoideum amoebae were also able to divide in the absence of myosin II as long as the cell was attached to a solid surface (9). Moreover, cytokinesis of cultured mammalian cells appeared to be facilitated by localized disruption of the actin cytoskeleton along the equator (10), contrary to what one might expect from a simple equatorial contraction model.

For higher plant cells, attention on the mechanism of cytokinesis has long been focused on exocytotic secretion and construction of a new cell wall, guided by the actin filaments and microtubules that concentrate in the equatorial region (11,12). However, similar membrane events may play a global role in cytokinesis, as suggested by the failure of division in Schizosaccharomyces pombe upon the inhibition of cell wall synthesis (13). Even in the absence of a cell wall, membrane addition and localized exocytosis near the furrow base have been identified as an important process for the completion of cytokinesis (2,14–16).

In addition to exocytosis, cytokinesis may involve endocytosis and other dynamic membrane events (1,2) as indicated by the cytokinetic failure of cells with mutations in the membrane-trafficking proteins clathrin (17,18), dynamin (19,20) and some Golgi-associated proteins (21). Furthermore, Arf6 and Rab11, small GTPases that regulate endosomal membrane dynamics, have been implicated in cytokinesis based on their localization in the cleavage furrow and effects of RNAi (22,23). Experiments with zebrafish embryos also demonstrated active endocytosis in the furrow region and failure of cytokinesis upon inhibition of endocytosis (24). Proteomic analysis further identified a large number of components for endocytosis in the furrow region (25). However, despite strong evidence, it is not clear how endocytosis fits into the current model that emphasizes cortical pinching and membrane addition rather than removal during ingestion.

Much is known about clathrin and its functions in the endocytosis of interphase cells (26–28). Membrane clathrin-containing structures (CCS) show random movements, in addition to budding, appearance, and disappearance (29). Since clathrin plays an essential role in cytokinesis for Dictyostelium in suspension (17,18), new insights into the mechanism of cytokinesis may be gained by studying its dynamics during cell division. Curiously, despite its functional role in cytokinesis, there was no clear concentration of clathrin in the cleavage furrow of Dictyostelium (30). In the present study, we have used cells expressing GFP-tagged clathrin light chain a to follow the dynamics of CCS during late mitosis.
and cytokinesis. We found that a large fraction of CCS in the region between separated chromosomes migrated toward the equator and disappeared in the furrow region. This directional movement of CCS likely drives a crucial membrane remodeling and/or signaling process during cell division.

Results

Distribution of GFP-clathrin on the membrane of dividing NRK cells

To investigate the dynamics of clathrin-coated structures on the membrane of dividing cells, NRK cells were transfected with plasmids encoding GFP-clathrin light chain α (29). Images were collected near the ventral membrane with spinning disk confocal optics. GFP-clathrin was found as discrete punctate structures over the entire ventral surface during prometaphase, metaphase and early anaphase. During cytokinesis, GFP-clathrin showed a lower concentration along the equator than elsewhere (Figure 1A, lower panel; see also Figure 4). The distribution of GFP-clathrin is similar to that of endogenous clathrin as detected by immunofluorescence staining (data not shown).

To confirm that we were focusing on GFP-clathrin near the plasma membrane, transfected cells were fixed and counter-stained with antibodies against the adaptor protein AP-2, a known component of plasma membrane-associated CCS but not trans-Golgi network or cytoplasmic clathrin-coated vesicles (31,32). As shown in Figure 1B, sharply focused GFP-CCS on the ventral surface colocalized extensively with punctate AP-2 structures. However, the amount of AP-2 varied among GFP-CCS, possibly reflecting CCS at different stages of internalization (33,34).

To rule out the possibility that some equatorial CCS might have escaped our detection due to the narrow depth of field of the confocal optics, cells were fixed and optically sectioned from the ventral to the dorsal surface. None of the optical sections showed a concentration of GFP-clathrin along the equator. Reconstructed 90° views, superimposing both CCS and cytoplasmic clathrin-coated

![Figure 1: Imaging clathrin-containing structures near the ventral surface.](image-url)

The bottom surfaces of NRK cells, transfected with plasmids encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP)-clathrin light chain α, were imaged during prometaphase (top row), early anaphase (middle row) and late anaphase/cytokinesis (bottom row). Images of a living cell acquired by spinning disc confocal microscopy show discrete punctate structures that were less concentrated in the furrow (A). To determine the relationship between the distribution of GFP-clathrin and AP-2, which is known to localize at clathrin-containing structures (CCS), transfected cells were fixed and counter-stained with antibodies against the adaptor protein AP-2 (B). Conventional optics is used for the dual-wavelength comparison between GFP-clathrin and stained AP-2. GFP-clathrin colocalizes extensively with AP-2 on the ventral surface, while out-of-focus GFP-clathrin in the mitotic spindle shows no correlation with the distribution of AP-2. Bar, 5.0 μm.
vesicles, showed random distribution of structures except for the concentration of vesicles near spindle poles (Figure 2). Similar results were obtained by reconstructing optical sections from fixed cells stained with anti-clathrin or AP-2 antibodies (not shown).

The distribution of GFP-clathrin was further compared with that of dynamin, which is known to interact with CCS and is also required for cytokinesis (20). Figure 3B shows that, as for clathrin, there was no obvious concentration of dynamin in the midzone or furrow region of NRK cells. In addition, dynamin showed a partial colocalization with GFP-clathrin (yellow dots in Figure 3B, right panel). Similar results were obtained with either a monoclonal antibody against dynamin II or MC-53/MC-65 pan dynamin polyclonal antibodies (20). We have also compared the distribution of GFP-clathrin with caveolin, a major component of membrane caveolae (35). Figure 3A revealed that caveolin is localized at punctate structures distinct from the GFP-CCS.

**Dynamics of GFP-clathrin on the membrane of dividing NRK cells**

The dynamics of GFP-CCS near the ventral membrane was monitored by time-lapse recording with spinning disk confocal optics. Figure 4 shows selected frames of a representative cell from anaphase through early cytokinesis. Upon anaphase onset, GFP-CCS in the region between separating chromosomes (broken lines) started to move toward the equator, while most CCS outside this region defined by separated chromosomes showed no long-range directional movement (Supplemental Videos 1 and 2). This region between separated chromosomes, which widens as anaphase progresses, will be referred to as the active area.

At a given time-point, approximately 65% of GFP-CCS in the active area were found to undergo directional movements. Plots of velocity vectors indicate that all the long range (>0.5 μm) movements were directed toward the equator (Figure 5), although the movement may be...
superimposed with short-range (<0.5 μm) random movement (Figure 6A). In addition, while CCS moved predominantly along the long axis of the cell during early cytokinesis (Figure 5), those near the cell border typically migrated parallel to the border thus at an angle from the long axis as ingression progresses (Supplemental Videos 1 and 2). Neighboring CCS often migrated at different rates, and actively moving CCS may be in close proximity to stationary structures (Figure 6A and Supplemental Video 2), suggesting that the movement was driven by a site-specific process rather than by a global cortical flow. Analysis of the trajectories of individual CCS yielded an average rate of approximately 1.92 ± 0.06 μm/min (SEM; n = 125 in 14 cells). In contrast, GFP-CCS outside the active area showed primarily random movements with a lower rate (1.26 ± 0.09 μm/min, SEM; n = 68 in 11 cells; Figure 6B and Supplemental Video 3), similar to what was found on the surface of interphase cells (29). The difference in speed is statistically significant (p < 10^{-7}).

The above observations raised the question why GFP-CCS showed no concentration in the furrow despite their movements toward the equator. Figure 7 and Supplemental Videos 4 and 5 revealed that GFP-CCS underwent dynamic appearance and disappearance, with...
a significantly higher rate for disappearance than for appearance in the active area (Figures 7C; p < 0.00005). Thus, the increase in equatorial CCS due to directional movement was more than offset by the higher frequency of disappearance in the active region. The frequency of appearance and disappearance was similar outside the active area (Figure 7C; p = 0.4). Appearance of most CCS occurred at fixed sites, through what appeared to
be a budding process off existing structures (Figure 7A and Supplemental Video 4).

To determine if the high rate of disappearance of CCS in the active area reflects increased endocytosis, cells were pulse-treated with fluorescently labeled transferrin or dextran upon the onset of anaphase and fixed immediately. There was no detectable concentration of transferrin or dextran-containing vesicles in the active area (Figure 8), suggesting that either the disappearance is unrelated to endocytosis or endocytic vesicles were transported out of the furrow region at a high rate.

**Dependence of the directional movement of CCS on cytoskeletal structures**

To test if the directional movement of GFP-CCS was dependent on microtubules, cells were treated with nododazole upon anaphase onset (Figure 9A). The treatment inhibited directional movements in the active region, although appearance/disappearance activities of CCS...
persisted (arrows, Figure 9A and Supplemental Video 6). A more complete inhibition of both CCS movement and appearance/disappearance activities was observed when the actin cytoskeleton was disrupted with either 5 μM latrunculin A or 5 μM cytochalasin D (Figure 9B and Supplemental Video 7). These observations indicate that the directional movement of GFP-CCS requires both intact actin filaments and microtubules.

Discussion

Dynamics of membrane CCS during cytokinesis

Previous studies have characterized the movement of CCS during interphase (29,36,37). The present results indicate that such movements persisted during mitosis until anaphase onset. However, the most striking finding is that a large fraction of CCS in the region between separated chromosomes undergoes directional migration toward the equator during anaphase and telophase. Neighbouring CCS moved asynchronously and independently, suggesting that a site-specific process drove the movement and/or anchored some CCS. In addition, the colocalization of clathrin and AP-2 (Figure 1) and the similar behavior of AP-2 as observed with a GFP construct (unpublished observations) suggest that the dynamics of clathrin involved the turnover and migration of the entire CCS.

Despite the directional migration into the furrow region, there was no apparent accumulation of CCS along the equator. A similar lack of concentration of clathrin in the cleavage furrow was shown previously in Dictyostelium (30), while clathrin appeared to be concentrated in the cleavage furrow of zebra fish embryos (24). In addition, dynamin, a protein closely associated with clathrin during membrane budding, was found to concentrate in the furrows of Caenorhabditis elegans embryos and a rat liver cell line (20). Our results suggest that the pattern of CCS distribution is determined by the combination of directional migration and disappearance of CCS along the equator (Figures 4–7). Equatorial concentration of CCS proteins takes place when the influx due to directed transport exceeds the rate of disappearance. The differences among embryos and various cell lines may thus reflect different rates of CCS migration relative to disassembly.

As for CCS movements in interphase cells (36,37), directional transport of CCS was dependent upon an intact actin and microtubule cytoskeleton. Furthermore, the active region corresponded to the spindle interzone, which formed upon anaphase onset and contained a set of organized microtubules referred to as interzonal microtubules (3). We found that some CCS are aligned along interzonal microtubules (not shown), while a recent study showed the association of clathrin heavy and light chains, but not the adaptor proteins, with kinetochore microtubules during mitosis (38). The sensitivity of CCS movement to the microtubule-destabilizing agent nocodazole further suggested that the movement may be guided at least partially by these interzonal microtubules. The dependence of directed movements of CCS on both actin filaments and microtubules may reflect the physical link between actin and microtubule networks as has been demonstrated on the cortex of oocytes (39).

Functions of membrane CCS during cytokinesis

In interphase cells, the disappearance of CCS reflected the pinching and uncoating of endocytic vesicles (29). Thus, one may interpret the disappearance of CCS in the cleavage furrow as an indication of elevated endocytic activities, which was shown along the equator of zebra fish embryos (24). The involvement of endocytosis in cytokinesis is also supported by the defects in cytokinesis following the disruption of a number of proteins required for endocytosis, including dynamin, Arf6 and Rab11 (19–23).

While the involvement of exocytosis in cytokinesis has long been recognized as a means for providing the membrane for increased surface area during cell division (2,14,15), it is not readily apparent how equatorial endocytosis fits into the current model of cytokinesis. One possibility is that both endocytosis and exocytosis are required for remodeling the plasma membrane, e.g. to cause changes in the composition of the membrane lipids and/or associated proteins. Clathrin-containing structures contain cholesterol and possibly other special lipids, and clathrin-dependent membrane remodeling in the furrow region may mediate the enrichment of sterols required...
for cytokinesis (40,41). In addition, membrane remodeling may be responsible for the exposure of phosphatidylethanolamine (42), which is normally present in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, in the cleavage furrow. Depletion of phosphatidylethanolamine from the plasma membrane blocked the completion of cytokinesis, presumably by affecting the disassembly of the actin-contractile ring (42).

A second possibility is that CCS and endocytosis may be involved in the assembly of the equatorial actin cortex. While we and other investigators showed that an intact actin cytoskeleton is required for CCS dynamics (Figure 9) (37), given the close association with the actin cytoskeleton (43,44), CCS may play an important role in the reorganization of the actin cortex and assembly of the contractile ring along the equator. For example, CCS may mediate the recruitment of actin filaments and associated proteins such as cortactin (34). It is noteworthy that the rate and pattern of CCS movement appeared similar to what was found for actin filaments and membrane receptors during cytokinesis (45,46). In addition, the CCS protein dynamin is known to enrich in areas of high membrane dynamic activities and to play a role in cell-shape modulation (44).

A third possibility is that CCS may be involved in the signaling events during cytokinesis. The directional migration of CCS may deliver signaling components to the equatorial region, where they stimulate cortical ingression.
CCS interact with phosphoinositides that regulate cytoskeletal proteins such as profilin and gelsolin (47). The involvement of phosphoinositides in cytokinesis is further suggested by the localization of IP3 kinase in the polar region and PTEN (phosphatase-dephosphorylating phosphoinositides) along the equator and the requirement of these enzymes for cytokinesis (48). Furthermore, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate is concentrated in the cleavage furrow of dividing mammalian cells (49) and may be required for regulating calcium concentration and/or membrane–cortex interactions during cytokinesis (49,50). CCS are also known to regulate other signaling pathways including receptor tyrosine kinases, which may also play a role in regulating cytokinesis (47).

Materials and Methods

Cell culture, transfection and treatments

A subclone of Normal Rat Kidney cells (NRK-52E; American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, USA) was grown on glass coverslips in Kaighan’s modified F12 medium (Sigma, St Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, KS, USA), 1 mM L-glutamine, 50 μg/mL streptomycin and 50 U/mL penicillin (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA). Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Plasmids for GFP-tagged clathrin light chain (Sigma). For the immunofluorescence of tubulin, 5 μM paclitaxel (Sigma) was then replaced with medium containing drugs (3.3 μM nocodazole, 5 μM latrunculin A or 5 μM cytochalasin D; all from Sigma), after rinsing the cells twice with drug-containing media. To visualize endocytosis, cells were pulse-labeled with Texas-red transferrin (20 μg/mL; Molecular Probes) and then fixed for 10 min with 4% formaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA, USA) in warm PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma). For the immunofluorescence of tubulin, 5 μM paclixelone (Sigma) was included in the fixation solution. Fixed cells were then blocked for at least 45 min by incubation with PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and labeled for at least 1 h with primary antibodies in PBS/BSA in a humidified environment. The dilution factors were 1:100 for antibodies against dynamin II (BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA, USA), 1:200 for MC-63/MC-65 pan dynamin antibodies (kindly provided by Dr M.A. McNiven, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA) (51), 1:5 for X-22 anticlathrin heavy chain (29), 1:2 for anti-AP2 subunit (AP.B) (29), 1:200 for actinavolin (BD Transduction Laboratories) and 1:200 for antibulbin (Sigma). After washing for 45 min with several changes of PBS/BSA, the cells were incubated for 30 min with appropriate secondary antibodies labeled with Alexa-488 or 546 (1:200 in PBS/BSA; Molecular Probes). The cells were rinsed with PBS and placed in an anti-bleaching cocktail of 0.1% p-phenylenediamine (Sigma), 50% glycerol in PBS.

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells grown on glass coverslips were rinsed twice in warm PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na2HPO4 and 1.5 mM KH2PO4) and then fixed for 10 min with 4% formaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA, USA) in warm PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma). For the immunofluorescence of tubulin, 5 μM paclixelone (Sigma) was included in the fixation solution. Fixed cells were then blocked for at least 45 min by incubation with PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and labeled for at least 1 h with primary antibodies in PBS/BSA in a humidified environment. The dilution factors were 1:100 for antibodies against dynamin II (BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA, USA), 1:200 for MC-63/MC-65 pan dynamin antibodies (kindly provided by Dr M.A. McNiven, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA) (51), 1:5 for X-22 anticlathrin heavy chain (29), 1:2 for anti-AP2 subunit (AP.B) (29), 1:200 for actinavolin (BD Transduction Laboratories) and 1:200 for antibulbin (Sigma). After washing for 45 min with several changes of PBS/BSA, the cells were incubated for 30 min with appropriate secondary antibodies labeled with Alexa-488 or 546 (1:200 in PBS/BSA; Molecular Probes). The cells were rinsed with PBS and placed in an anti-bleaching cocktail of 0.1% p-phenylenediamine (Sigma), 50% glycerol in PBS.

Microscopy

For live-cell imaging, cells were maintained in a custom-stage incubator built on top of an Axiovert S100TV inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA). The microscope was equipped with Plan-Neofluar ×100/1.3 N.A. and ×40/0.13 N.A. oil objectives. Confocal imaging was performed with a Yokogawa spinning disk confocal scan head (model CSU10; Solamere Technology Group, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). Confocal images were illuminated with an argon ion laser (Reliant 150M, Laser Physics, West Jordan, UT) set at 488 nm. Images from live cells were collected at a frequency of 5–10 seconds. All dual-wavelength imaging was performed with conventional optics, using a 100 W mercury arc lamp and filter sets for GFP (Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, VT, USA) or TRITC (Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT, USA). Optical sectioning was performed using a custom-designed stepper motor under computer control. All images were acquired using a cooled CCD camera (ICCD-512-EBF; Roper Scientific, Trenton, NJ, USA). Background subtraction, three-dimensional reconstruction and generation of merged color images were performed using custom software. Final images were processed with Adobe Photoshop.

Image analysis

To characterize the movement of CCS, GFP-clathrin-containing dots were identified in 4–12 consecutive frames by visual examination of the movie sequence. Trajectories and rates of movement were then calculated based on the coordinates of the center of the dots and the known magnification. Average speed was calculated from at least 40 dots. To calculate the percentage appearance/disappearance of CCS, a square of approximately 2 × 2 μm was placed randomly over selected regions. The number of dots that appeared (including those formed de novo or budded off an existing site) or disappeared over a period of 60–75 seconds was counted within the square and divided by the maximum number of dots found in the square during the same period to obtain the percentage.

Supplementary Material

The following videos are available as part of the online article from http://www.blackwell-synergy.com

Video 1: Overview of CCS dynamics on the ventral surface of a dividing cell as for Figure 4, but from a different cell. Line marks the equator. Duration, 5 min.

Video 2: Directional movements of CCS in the active region. See legend of Figure 6A for details. Duration, 3 min 14 seconds.

Video 3: Random movements of CCS outside the active region. See legend of Figure 6B for details. Duration, 4 min 50 seconds.

Video 4: Dynamic appearance of CCS in the active region. See legend of Figure 7A for details. Duration, 4 min 50 seconds.

Video 5: Dynamic disappearance of CCS in the active region. See legend of Figure 7B for details. Duration, 2 min 5 seconds.

Video 6: Effects of 3.3 μM nocodazole on the dynamics of CCS. See legend of Figure 9A for details. The treatment causes inhibition of directional movements; however, some appearance/disappearance activities persist. Duration, 6 min 30 seconds.

Video 7: Effects of 5 μM cytochalasin D on the dynamics of CCS. See legend of Figure 9B for details. The treatment causes strong inhibition of both directional movements and appearance/disappearance of CCS. Duration, 8 min 15 seconds.
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